ANTIOCH CITY COUNCIL

Regular Meeting 7:00 P.M.

December 9, 2003 Council Chambers

Mayor Freitas called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M., and Deputy City Clerk Chalk called the roll.

Present: Council Members Kalinowski, Davis, Conley, Simonsen and Mayor Freitas

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mayor Pro Tem Davis led the Council and audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ANNOUNCEMENTS OF CIVIC AND COMMUNITY EVENTS

Kenneth Lee, on behalf of the Police Commission, invited the City Council to their annual potluck dinner, scheduled for December 15, 2003 at 6:00 P.M. at the Antioch Police Station.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Kenneth Lee, Antioch resident, requested the City departments coordinate and communicate to a single source with regards to resolving the current and future complaints pertaining to the Antioch dealerships.

Emil Stein, Antioch resident, expressed concern that people from the dealerships were continuing to park in their neighborhood. He questioned when the ordinance dealing with the enforcement of conditions of approvals would take effect and why approval was taking so long.

Randy Powers, Antioch resident, expressed concern the Aloud speaker system@ from the Nokes dealerships were exceptionally loud in volume. He requested a stop sign at the intersection of Asner and Crestview to control speeding on the streets. He thanked the City for providing maintenance at the park and questioned when a meeting would be held on grant funds for park improvements.

Acting Deputy Director of Recreation Sanderson requested Mr. Powers provide him with contact information so he could be informed when the Parks and Recreation Commission considers the Roberti-Z'berg grant process.

Director of Community Development Brandt stated some of the speakers had been removed and the others were redirected, with a scheduled followup to determine if concerns had been addressed.

City Attorney Galstan clarified the ordinance had been written and was scheduled for the Planning Commission in January. He noted it was a generic ordinance and would pertain to any violation of any condition of approval that was approved by the Planning Commission or City Council.

In response to Councilmember Kalinowski, City Attorney Galstan clarified parking in the public right of way in a residential area was not part of the restriction. He noted anyone has access to park at any location unless it is posted and restricted.

Clare Smith announced Boy Scout Troop #493 was attending this meeting to work on a merit badge for citizenship in the community. On behalf of *No Antioch Casino* pack, she expressed her appreciation for the City Council's unanimous support of the community on the casino issue. She requested the City Council instruct staff to send a copy of the resolution pertaining to the casino to them as well as federal and state agencies.

Pat Putnum invited the community to attend a celebration at the Rivertown Arts Center on December 12, 2003 at 5:00 P.M.

Gary Francis stated he was informed they could not have crosswalks installed on "A" Street between the intersection of 18th and "A" Street on the north and the railroad tracks on the south. He expressed concern for the safety of residents attempting to cross the street and presented the City Council with a petition signed by 77 residents of the area in support of the installation of the crosswalks.

In response to Mayor Freitas, City Engineer/Community Development Director Brandt stated he would contact Mr. Francis regarding his request although he would deny it due to the fact he was concerned for the increased safety hazard.

Mayor Freitas requested the item be placed on the agenda for January and instructed staff to notify Mr. Francis when it would be heard.

1. COUNCIL CONSENT CALENDAR

Α.	APPROVAL OF COUNCIL MINUTES FOR SEPTEMBER 30 AND NOVE 2003	MBER 4, #301-02
В.	APPROVAL OF COUNCIL WARRANTS	#401-02

- C. REJECTION OF CLAIMS
 - 1. David Estes, #03/04-1442
 - 2. Anngela Campbell, #03/04-1449
 - 3. Sylvia Elizarraraz, #03/04-1457
 - 4. Ronald Hubbard, #03/04-1438
 - 5. Walter Duarte, #03/04-1431

D. LEGISLATION AND ADVOCACY

#704-07

- E. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 2003/136</u> ACCEPTING WORK AND AUTHORIZING CITY ENGINEER TO FILE A NOTICE OF COMPLETION FOR THE LONE TREE BOOSTER PUMPING STATION II (PW 555-2b) #1202-01
- F. AGREEMENT WITH HONEYWELL, INC. FOR PHASE II AND PHASE III ENERGY RETROFIT IMPROVEMENTS (PW 590-2b) #1001-04
- G. RESOLUTION ACCEPTING WORK AND AUTHORIZING CITY ENGINEER TO FILE A NOTICE OF COMPLETION FOR THE RUBBERIZED PAVEMENT OVERLAY AND REPAIR AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS (PW 225-21)(Con't to 12/16/03) #1102-04
- H. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 2003/137</u> AUTHORIZING THE CITY TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE PUBLIC AGENCY RETIREMENT SYSTEM TO PROVIDE AN ALTERNATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM FOR PART-TIME EMPLOYEES #505-03
- I. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 2003/138</u> APPROVING NEW CLASS SPECIFICATION, REVISING CLASS SPECIFICATIONS, ESTABLISHING SALARIES, AND AMENDING THE 2003/04 BUDGET BY REALLOCATING AND ADDING POSITIONS IN THE PUBLIC WORKS, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, POLICE AND PERSONNEL DEPARTMENTS #502-02
- J. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 2003/139</u> APPROVING PERSONNEL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF OAKLEY FOR HUMAN RESOURCES SERVICES #502-01

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Kalinowski, the Council unanimously approved the Council Consent Calendar with the exception of item F,G,H and I, which were removed for further discussion.

<u>Item F</u> - In response to Councilmember Simonsen, Director of Community Development Brandt stated the savings from paying off the loans was considerably larger than anticipated and those improvements were additional energy saving projects. Finance Director Tasker stated he would look into charging the Prewett Park expense to the CFD89-1.

On motion by Councilmember Simonsen, seconded by Councilmember Conley the City Council approved item F, with the provision the Prewett Park expense will be charged to the appropriate fund.

<u>Item G</u> - In response to Councilmember Simonsen, the City Council directed the item be held over to the next City Council meeting to allow staff time to clarify the reason for the overage.

<u>Item H</u> - In response to Councilmember Simonsen, Personnel Director Brock-Cohn clarified the retirement system was a better benefit for the employees, would save the City a considerable amount of money and included temporary employees. She did not feel it would be a significant impact on the Social Security program.

On motion by Councilmember Kalinowski, seconded by Councilmember Conley, the City Council unanimously approved item H.

<u>Item I</u> - In response to Councilmember Simonsen, Personnel Director Brock-Cohn affirmed those positions were previously approved by the City Council.

On motion by Councilmember Simonsen, seconded by Councilmember Conley the City Council unanimously approved item I.

PUBLIC COMMENT - Continued

Jim Zurkel requested a response to an email he sent the City Council and staff regarding a question he had on the Reynolds and Brown project.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

2. REYNOLDS & BROWN REQUESTS APPROVAL OF A GENERAL PLAN, ZONING, AND SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT, PLANNED DEVELOPMENT, TENTATIVE MAP, AND USE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT 240 APARTMENTS, AND APPROXIMATELY 260,000 SF OF COMMERCIAL/OFFICE/FLEX SPACE ON THREE PARCELS TOTALING APPROXIMATELY 33.5 ACRES, LOCATED ON THE NORTHWEST, NORTHEAST AND SOUTHEAST CORNERS OF LONE TREE WAY AND BLUEROCK DR./GOLF COURSE RD. (APN 072-012-087, 072-012-088 AND 072-012-109) (GP-02-2, Z-02-3, SP-02-1, PD-03-2, PW-666, UP-03-17) #202-03

Mayor Freitas reported there was new material being considered by the City Council this evening, specifically the EIR and it's consistency with the new General Plan. He announced the City Council would open the public hearing for thirty minutes and allow up to ten speakers - three minutes each, with the focus of discussion on the consistency with the new Antioch General Plan only. He noted that Reynolds and Brown would then be permitted a three-minute rebuttal.

Mayor Freitas declared a recess at 7:35 P.M., the meeting reconvened at 7:42 P.M. with all Councilmembers present.

Sandra Golightly, Antioch resident stated she felt it was a well-designed project which was consistent and within the guidelines of the General Plan.

Carey Ross questioned how the project and potential approval was consistent with the General Plan.

Stuart Flashman, Attorney representing Citizens Against Congestion and Citizens for a Better Antioch, stated the subdivision map was not consistent with the current General Plan and would not be consistent until the Specific Plan and General Plan amendments are in effect. He noted approving the subdivision map prior to the time the Specific Plan and General Plan amendments are effective is a violation of state law.

Sherry Starks, speaking on behalf of Citizens for a Better Antioch voiced their opposition to the development.

Mr. Hurd stated he had no rebuttal to the previous speakers at that time.

Mayor Freitas closed the public hearing.

In response to Councilmember Simonsen, City Attorney Galstan stated there were four to five entitlements that are mutually dependent on each other. He stated to take them separately would be awkward due to the fact they would be out of context and the public would have to come back to separate meetings on each. Therefore, it was his opinion it was valid to proceed in the manner which is proposed by staff.

In response to Councilmember Conley, City Attorney Galstan stated the General Plan approved by resolution became affective immediately and the 30-day period in which a referendum could take place began the day after the City Council adopted the resolution. He clarified he had drafted the document of findings contained in the memorandum dated December 5, 2003.

Councilmember Conley voiced his support for the Reynolds and Brown project, noting he felt it was appropriate for the City Council to induce jobs to Antioch. He stated it would be the first project in East County to balance the job/housing ratio in the City's favor. Regarding traffic and school impacts, he felt the best way to address traffic issues was to bring jobs into East County and with regards to the schools, the EIR stated the plan was a better alternative than the previous plan. He stated in the past the City has had other agreements with positive results and he felt this application would be a tremendous asset to the City.

Councilmember Simonsen stated it was in the best interest of the City Council to do everything in their power in coordination with the Chamber of Commerce and Reynolds and Brown to get the buildings occupied. He noted it was fortunate the president of Reynolds and Brown lived locally, was aware of all the issues in Antioch and has a reputable company. He discussed the importance of integrating apartment buildings throughout the community and providing affordable alternatives for the residents. He voiced his support of the project noting it represented smart growth and the City had adequately addressed the traffic and school impacts.

Councilmember Kalinowski stated the City Council had historically and successfully used city funds to attract business to Antioch. He voiced his support for the project noting it was a good vision for the community, would provide much-needed jobs and had been proven to be superior to the previous General Plan designation for the property.

Councilmember Davis voiced his supported of the owner participation agreement based on the reputation of Reynolds and Brown and felt it would be a good project, filling a need in the community for commercial and retail development. He stated he would be requesting a separate vote on the resolutions due to his concern regarding on the number of apartments being proposed.

Mayor Freitas stated he voted against the owner participation agreement, noting he felt approval was not in the best interest of the City. He agreed the project would provide high paying jobs with good benefits and would be one of the largest job centers in Antioch and eastern Contra Costa County. He further noted the EIR had documented the impact to traffic and schools would be insignificant in that area. He stated he would be supporting the project noting it would bring 1100 jobs, is being developed by a firm with an outstanding reputation and he felt it would be a catalyst for other businesses to build job and commercial establishments in Antioch.

<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2003/140</u>

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Simonsen the City Council unanimously adopted the resolution making findings in relation to entitlements and Certification of the Environmental Impact Report for the Bluerock Business Center project.

RESOLUTION NO. 2003/141

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Davis, the City Council unanimously adopted the resolution approving the General Plan amendments for the project.

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Davis the City Council unanimously introduced the ordinance amending the Southeast Antioch Specific Plan by title only.

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Davis the City Council unanimously introduced the ordinance amending the Southeast Antioch Specific Plan.

Councilmember Davis stated he would not be supporting the following motion due to his concern regarding the number of apartments proposed.

RESOLUTION NO. 2003/142

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Kalinowski, the City Council adopted the resolution approving a final plan development, tentative map, use permit and certification of the Environmental Impact Report. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Kalinowski, Conley, Simonsen, Freitas

Noes: Davis

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Simonsen the City Council unanimously introduced the rezoning ordinance by title only.

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Kalinowski, the City Council unanimously introduced the ordinance rezoning approximately 33.5 acres making up the Bluerock Business Center project site to the Planned Development District (PD).

Mayor Freitas declared a recess at 8:27 P.M., the meeting reconvened at 8:40 P.M. with all Councilmembers present.

3. CENTRAL SELF STORAGE/ THE PEGASUS GROUP REQUESTS APPROVAL OF THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS TO ALLOW AN APPROXIMATELY 96,000 SF, THREE-STORY TALL, MINI-STORAGE FACILITY ON AN APPROXIMATELY 2.9 ACRE PROJECT SITE (SP-2002-03, GP-2002-03, PD-2002-03) #202-04

Associate Planner Albro presented the staff report dated December 4, 2003, recommending the City Council accept the Planning Commission=s recommendation to deny the requested amendment to the General Plan (GP-02-03), amendment to the Southeast Area Specific Plan (SP-02-03), rezoning amending the Diablo West Final Development Plan (PD-02-03), and the use permit for a self storage facility (UP-02-31).

He stated should the City Council choose to approve the project, staff was in agreement with the applicant for amending project specific condition #61 for the following hours, Mon-Sat 9 A.M. - 5 P.M. and Sunday 10 A.M. - 5 P.M. He noted staff did not support removal of project specific condition #72 and further noted they would continue to work with the applicant regarding the fees listed in the standard conditions.

Mayor Freitas clarified condition #21a should be amended to state Regional Water Quality Control Board. He expressed concern the applicant did not discuss the landscape screening with the residents, as directed by the City Council.

Tim Davis, representing the Pegasus Group, stated they had sent letters to all adjacent homeowners and attempted to meet with as many residents as possible. He reviewed the revised elevation and landscaping plans to address the buffer and height issues of the project.

In response to Mayor Freitas, Mr. Davis clarified by lowering the height and moving it further away from the property line it would lessen the visual impact on the adjacent

property owners. He stated any other use on the property could add significant traffic, lighting, noise and visual impacts.

Mayor Freitas opened the public hearing.

PROPONENTS

William Newlove, Trustee First Missionary Baptist Church, spoke in support of the applicant. He stated he had provided the City Council with a summary of the contacts and efforts they had made to sell the property over the last 5 years. He discussed the difficulty of developing the site and noted the Pegasus Group is the only proposal submitted. He requested the City Council give favorable consideration to the applicant's proposal.

Mayor Freitas declared a recess at 9:13 P.M., the meeting reconvened at 9:17 P.M. with all Councilmembers present.

OPPONENTS

Gary Clausen stated the residents were concerned with the rezoning of the property and the lack of benefit to the community with a self-storage facility. He noted he had not been contacted about the proposal and felt the facility would have negative impacts on the neighborhood.

Mayor Freitas read written comment provided by James O'Rourke, Ramon Gonzalez, Francisco Campos, Peggy Court and Doni DeBolt in opposition to the self-storage facility project. Ms. Court submitted a petition signed by 14 residents in opposition to the project.

Margie Staggs spoke in support of the project noting it would provide needed storage for local residents, it was well-designed and will be a quiet neighbor for the residents.

Geri Mauldin spoke in support of the project noting it was attractive and will provide the community with a needed storage facility.

Henry Eiring, Antioch resident, stated if he had known the facility was considered for the property he would not have purchased his home adjacent to the project. He expressed concern regarding the view and light impacts as well as settlement issues that could occur with the shifting of the grade. He noted a single story retail or office building would be more suitable in the location. He stated the project would not add value to the neighborhood and urged the City Council to deny the project. He clarified he was not contacted by the developer regarding the proposal and did not feel their efforts to contact the residents were sufficient.

Pauline Soliz voiced her support for the self-storage project.

Julie Clement, Antioch resident, requested denial of the self-storage facility noting it would not generate sufficient jobs or tax revenue for the City to justify the modifications requested. She did not believe the company met the conditions the Mayor previously requested with regards to contacting the neighbors. She provided the City Council with signatures of residents opposed to the project.

Charles Cattaneo, Pegasus Group, reported letters were sent to the homeowners adjacent to the project with a contact number for any concerns and he did not receive any calls. He further noted he went door to door in the neighborhood and no residents were home.

Jeff Raty stated he could see the lot from his home and he does not want the project in his neighborhood. He provided 82 signatures from residents against the project.

Alan Mauldin spoke in support of the project noting the church had tried and been unsuccessful at contracting other developers for the site. He urged the City to approve the project.

Ed Staggs spoke in support of the project noting the landscaping buffer would address the residents concerns regarding the visual impacts of the project.

Jim Moita spoke in opposition to the project noting the overbuilding of the industry would have a detrimental effect on the overall storage facility market.

Michael DiGeronimo, representing Pegasus Group, stated the height issues were not appropriate CEQA issues and noted the appropriate way to handle them was through conditions of approval for the project. He further noted that based on his experience the property was not adequate for retail use.

Terry Ramus felt the project was not appropriate and suggested the City consider a use that would compliment the theater complex. He encouraged the City Council to weigh the project against the General Plan and expressed concern the developer had done little to cooperate with the City's or resident's desires.

Allen Payton discussed the need for maintaining property designated and zoned for church uses.

REBUTTAL

Dwight Davis, representing Pegasus Group, stated the project would require very little fire services, was a quiet use, low traffic generator and would pay fees and property taxes. He stated they did provide a letter to the residents and attempt to contact them. He noted since they reduced the overall project approximately 9 feet there was approximately 24-30 inches at the highest point of the roof above the fence. He reported they were working with the engineers to potentially lower the roofline another 24 inches and if feasible the roofline would be level with the top of the fence. He discussed the advantages of a storage facility

for the residents in the area and noted the revised plan coupled with the geotechnical information would not present any negative aspect to the homeowners. He clarified the lighting was designed to be down low and would not run all night due to the fact they have carded access entry and each door was alarmed. He stated they would continue to work with, and have cooperated with staff to make the project fit and they felt it would be a good low impact project for the community. He noted they would continue to mitigate any negative aspects of the view from the adjacent neighbors.

In response to Councilmember Simonsen, Mr. Davis stated they had agreed to turn the lighting off at a designated time and design it to be low. He pledged the residents would not be impacted by lighting spilling onto their properties at night. He clarified they would provide a retaining wall and landscape the bank area, which would mitigate future problems with the ground shifting. He stated they have had a geotechnical report completed and felt none of the construction should cause damage to the homes.

Mayor Freitas expressed concern regarding the applicant's efforts to contact the residents. Mr. Davis agreed they could have put more effort into contacting the residents.

In response to Councilmember Conley, Mr. Davis stated they had considered lowering the site and unfortunately hauling off dirt would make the project unfeasible.

Mayor Freitas closed the public hearing.

Deputy Director of Community Development Carniglia clarified the structure as lowered is 2-8 feet above the wall.

In response to Councilmember Conley, City Attorney Galstan clarified the City would have immunity for granting any approvals for the project and typically the party responsible for the new construction would be responsible for any damage caused during the grading and building on the site. Councilmember Conley stated historically, property values do not decrease in neighborhoods with storage facilities and the feasibility of the site for a church or office use was changed with the previous approval for the Gas City and Carl's Jr. He stated an office project would need to be 2-3 stories to allow the developer a return on their investment and to comply for the parking requirements. He stated there would be minimal traffic, no light pollution or crime and the building would architecturally fit within the community, and therefore he supported the project moving forward.

In response to Mayor Freitas, the applicant indicated it was acceptable to limit lighting to a maximum of twelve feet.

Mayor Freitas stated the City had acted in good faith by approving the development of the property along Lone Tree Way with the understanding the remaining property would be developed for a church. He noted there are few properties within the City that can be used for a church and the property would avail itself to some of the smaller churches. He felt it was not an appropriate location for a storage facility and felt it would complicate issues in the future with regards to general plan and zoning issues, therefore he could not support the following motion.

Councilmember Kalinowski stated he was not basing his decision on arguments from the representatives of other storage facilities in the area. He expressed concern for the increase in the square footage of the project and locating the approximately 200 foot long building along Mokelumne Drive.

<u>RESOLUTION 2003/143</u>
RESOLUTION 2003/144
RESOLUTION 2003/145
RESOLUTION 2003/146

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Davis the City Council 1) Adopted the resolution approving the amendment to the Southeast Area Specific Plan from Medium Density Residential to Mixed Commercial / Residential (MCR); 2) Adopted the resolution approve the amendment to the General Plan Designation from Low Density Residential to Mixed Commercial / Residential (MCR); 3) Adopted the resolution approving the rezoning from Planned Development (PD) to Planned Development (PD), amended the Diablo West Final Development Plan by re-designating a 2.9 acre church site to a 2.9 acre mini-storage facility site; 4) Adopted the resolution approving the request for a Use Permit approval to construct and operate the facility; amended "Regional Water Quality Control Board", deleting project specific condition #51 and #52 (with the exception of language regarding the landscaping), amended project specific condition #61 Hours of operation Mon-Sat 9-6 P.M. and Sundays 10-5 P.M. 5) Approved the negative declaration. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Davis, Conley, Simonsen

COUNCIL REGULAR AGENDA

4. ORAL PRESENTATION BY CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT DIRECTOR'S KARL WANDRY AND BETTE BOATMUM ON CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT PROJECTS AND UPDATE ON CALFED LOS VAQUEROS RESERVOIR EXPANSION STUDIES #1202-05

Ms. Bette Boatmum, Contra Costa Water Director, gave a brief overhead presentation of the Contra Costa Water District update on the CALFED Los Vaqueros Reservoir Expansion studies.

In response to Councilmember Conley, Ms. Boatmum clarified the possible partners could include zone 7, Pleasanton/Livermore, Santa Clara and Alameda County. She stated the new water line would not affect the current alignment of Vasco Road, should Los Vaqueros be expanded.

In response to Councilmember Kalinowski, Ms. Boatmum clarified with regards to the expansion, the HCP did not come into play. Marguerite Naillon, Project Manager noted if the reservoir was increased in size to 500,000 it would approximately double the surface area. Ms. Boatmum stated the habitat had increased with the development of Los Vaqueros and any environmental mitigation would take place onsite.

In response to Mayor Freitas, Ms. Boatmum felt emergency supply and drought supply could be the same thing.

Mayor Freitas stated he could not support the expansion due to the fact he remains concerned regarding the value of land for the project being excessively low and it had not been determined how reimbursements would come back to the ratepayers.

Ms. Boatmum responded the Board would have the obligation of discussing a policy direction regarding what would be done with the savings generated.

In response to Mayor Freitas, Marguerite Naillon clarified they had considered constitutional arrangements with a JPA or other joint powers entity overseeing the project. She noted the requirement in the ballot language was indicating CCWD would be the contract operator of the facility and would have to answer to all the partners as well as their own constituents. She added there was protection in place for the current project as well as the expansion and water would only be supplied to the Bay Area. She noted if the project went forward with a federal partnership, it would have to commit to congress what it would be used for. She further noted there would be no pipeline connecting the reservoir to the state water project and it is too small for southern California to be concerned with.

On motion by Councilmember Conley, seconded by Councilmember Simonsen the City Council received and filed the report.

5. RESOLUTION APPROVING A LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT BETWEEN PARCELS 8 AND 9 OF THE HILLCREST CROSSROADS SHOPPING CENTER AND REQUIRING A JOINT MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT FOR LANDSCAPING (PW 443-6-03) #202-10

City Attorney Galstan stated the staff report contained the incorrect version of the agreement.

On motion by Councilmember Kalinowski, seconded by Councilmember Davis, the City Council continued the item to December 16, 2003 to allow time to read and consider the correct agreement.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Sandra Golightly, Antioch resident, recommended the Council and public send a personal letter in support of the Prewett Park Library to the California Public Library Conservation Renovation Board.

Councilmember Simonsen stated the City was weak in the public support section of the library application and supported Ms. Golightly's recommendation.

Mayor Freitas directed staff to put an information item on the December 16, 2003 agenda with regards to the current status of the library application.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS

Councilmember Kalinowski stated the address was not listed for the self-storage agenda item.

Councilmember Simonsen thanked Bette Smith and the volunteers who organized Holiday De-lites and Mr. Hurd for providing the snow for the event. He reminded the City Council the Chamber Mixer would be held on December 11, 2003 at 5:00 P.M.

Councilmember Conley reported he had a great time at the Holiday De-lites event and thanked everyone for participating. He requested in the future, the event be held on the Saturday after Thanksgiving to increase the number of participants.

Mayor Freitas reported the Nutcracker Ballet had been a success. He announced the City Council would be meeting on December 16, 2003 for a special meeting.

STAFF COMMUNICATIONS - None

With no further business, Mayor Freitas adjourned the meeting at 11:10 P.M. to the next regular Council meeting on January 13, 2003.

Page 14 of 14

Respectfully submitted:

JANET CHALK, Deputy City Clerk

Approved:

DONALD P. FREITAS, Mayor

Attest:

L. JOLENE MARTIN, City Clerk CMC