

Table of Contents

E	Executive Summary	E-1
	Proposed Project	E-1
	Projected Specific Plan Buildout	E-3
	Regional Plan Consistency	E-4
	Alternatives to the Proposed Plan	E-5
	Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts	E-8
	Summary of Impacts	E-9
1	Introduction	1-1
	1.1 Purpose of EIR	1-1
	1.2 Intended Use of EIR	1-2
	1.3 Specific Plan Process and Public Involvement	1-2
	1.4 Approach to Study	1-3
	1.5 Scope of EIR	1-5
	1.6 Documents Incorporated by Reference	1-6
	1.7 Organization of EIR	1-6
2	Project Description	2-1
	2.1 Regional Location and Project Boundaries	2-4
	2.2 Purpose and Objectives of the Proposed Plan	2-2
	2.3 Proposed Plan	2-7
	2.4 Specific Plan Buildout	2-11
	2.5 Specific Plan Implementation	2-14
3	Environmental Setting, Impact Analysis and Mitigation	3-1
	3.1 Aesthetics and Visual Resources	3.1-1
	3.2 Air Quality	3.2-1
	3.3 Biological Resources	3.3-1
	3.4 Circulation and Traffic	3.4-1
	3.5 Climate Change and Energy Use	3.5-1
	3.6 Cultural Resources	3.6-1
	3.7 Geologic and Seismic Hazards	3.7-1
	3.8 Hazardous Materials and Safety	3.8-1
	3.9 Hydrology and Water Quality	3.9-1
	3.10 Land Use	3.10-1
	3.11 Noise	3.11-1
	3.12 Public Services	3.12-1
	3.13 Utilities	3.13-1

4	Alternatives	4-1
4.1	Background on Development of Alternatives.....	4-1
4.2	Description of Alternatives	4-3
4.3	Comparative Impact Analysis	4-10
4.4	Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	4-27
5	CEQA Required Conclusions	5-1
5.1	Growth-Inducing Impacts.....	5-1
5.2	Cumulative Impacts	5-3
5.3	Irreversible Environmental Changes.....	5-6
5.4	Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts.....	5-7
5.5	Impacts Not Found to be Significant.....	5-9
6	Persons & Organizations Consulted.....	6-1
7	Report Authors.....	7-1
8	Bibliography	8-1

Appendices

A	<i>Notice of Preparation and Comments Received</i>
B	<i>Special Status Species List, ESA, April 2008</i>
C	<i>Special Status Species Report, Live Oak Associates, Inc., September 2008</i>
D	<i>Biological Resources Report, RCL Ecology, August 2005</i>
E	<i>Insect and Invertebrates Site Assessment, RCL Ecology, August 2005</i>
F	<i>Mitigation for Impacts to Swainson’s Hawks, DFG, November 1994</i>
G	<i>Arborist’s Report, RCL Ecology, October 2008</i>
H	<i>Existing Drainage Conditions, Mattern & Associates, July 2005</i>
I	<i>Preliminary Wetland Delineation and Jurisdictional Determination, RCL Ecology, July 2005</i>
J	<i>Existing Wetlands Delineation Map, BKF Engineers, October 2007</i>
K	Letter, Department of the Army Corps of Engineers, January 2008
L	<i>Phillips Lane PSR - Model Validations and Year 2007 and 2035 Forecasts, Fehr & Peers, November 2008</i>
M	<i>Traffic Technical Appendix: Existing Conditions and 2035 Preferred Plan, Fehr & Peers, January 2009</i>
N	<i>Cultural Resources Field Inspection, Holman & Associates, August 2007</i>
O	<i>A Report of Findings of Mechanical Subsurface Presence Absence Testing for Cultural Resources, Holman & Associates, October 2008</i>
P	<i>Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, Engeo Incorporated, October 2007</i>
Q	<i>Addendum to Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, Engeo Incorporated, December 2008</i>
R	<i>Roadway Infrastructure Conceptual Grading Plan, BKF Engineers, October 2008</i>
S	<i>Conceptual Utility Plan, BKF Engineers, November 2008</i>

Tables and Charts

Table 1-1: Specific Plan Process.....	1-3
Table 2-1: Development Density Standards.....	2-11
Table 2-2: Hillcrest Station Area Land Use Summary.....	2-12
Table 2-3: Buildout Assumptions: Building Intensity and Density.....	2-12
Table 2-4: Buildout Projections: Housing Units and Population.....	2-13
Table 2-5: Buildout Projections: Commercial Square Footage and Jobs.....	2-13
Table 3.2-1: Bay Area Attainment Status.....	3.2-3
Table 3.2-2: Local Air Quality Data Summary (2003 – 2007).....	3.2-5
Table 3.2-3: Ambient Concentrations of Carcinogenic TACs (2003 Annual Mean).....	3.2-7
Table 3.2-4: State and National Criteria Air Pollutant Standards, Effects, and Sources.....	3.2-12
Table 3.2-5: City of Antioch Projected Population Growth.....	3.2-21
Table 3.2-6: Antioch Historical Growth.....	3.2-22
Table 3.2-7: Antioch Population and VMT Growth Projections (2007 to 2035).....	3.2-23
Table 3.2-8: Freeway Traffic Volumes.....	3.2-28
Table 3.4-1: Signalized Intersection LOS Criteria.....	3.4-4
Table 3.4-2: Unsignalized Intersection LOS Criteria.....	3.4-5
Table 3.4-3: Existing (2007) Intersection Operations Weekday AM and PM Peak Hour.....	3.4-9
Table 3.4-4: Existing (2006) Freeway Traffic Volumes.....	3.4-10
Table 3.4-5: Existing Freeway Operations.....	3.4-10
Table 3.4-6: Intersection Traffic Standards.....	3.4-16
Table 3.4-7: Development and Land Use Inputs for the Project.....	3.4-22
Table 3.4-8: Comparison of Number of Households.....	3.4-23
Table 3.4-9: Comparison of Number of Jobs.....	3.4-23
Table 3.4-10: Intersection Operations Weekday AM and PM Peak Hour – HCM Methodologies ...	3.4-33
Table 3.4-11: Intersection Operations Weekday AM and PM Peak Hour – CCTA Methodologies..	3.4-34
Table 3.4-12: Intersection Operations Weekday AM and PM Peak Hour – Percent Vehicles Served.	3.4-35
Table 3.4-13: 2035 Freeway Traffic Volumes.....	3.4-41
Table 3.4-14: 2035 Freeway Operations.....	3.4-41
Table 3.4-15: 2035 Freeway Traffic Volumes Without Phillips Lane Interchange.....	3.4-42
Table 3.4-16: 2035 Freeway Operations Without Phillips Lane Interchange.....	3.4-43

Table 3.4-17: Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	3.4-44
Table 3.5-1: California 2020 GHG Emissions Goal	3.5-3
Table 3.5-2: 2002 Bay Area CO ₂ e Emissions by Pollutant.....	3.5-4
Table 3.5-3: Contra Costa Countywide GHG Emissions (2005)	3.5-5
Table 3.5-4: City of Antioch Estimated GHG Emissions (2005)	3.5-7
Table 3.5-5: Contra Costa County 2005 Average Annual GHG Emissions Per Capita.....	3.5-16
Table 3.5-6: County GHG Projections to Achieve 2050 Goal.....	3.5-17
Table 3.5-7: Estimated Countywide Metric Tons GHG Emissions	3.5-21
Table 3.5-8: Estimated Planning Area Metric Tons of GHG Emissions	3.5-22
Table 3.7-1: Planning Area Soils	3.7-3
Table 3.7-2: Active and Potentially Active Faults Affecting the Planning Area.....	3.7-8
Table 3.7-3: Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale	3.7-9
Table 3.8-1: Contaminated Sites	3.8-3
Table 3.8-2: Planning Area Fire Threat	3.8-7
Table 3.10-1: Station Area Demographic Data (2007)	3.10-3
Table 3.10-2: ABAG Projections for the City of Antioch	3.10-4
Table 3.10-3: Planning Area General Plan Land Use Designations.....	3.10-6
Table 3.10-4: General Plan Buildout Projections.....	3.10-7
Table 3.10-5: Planning Area Zoning Districts	3.10-7
Table 3.10-6: Development Density Standards.....	3.10-14
Table 3.10-7: Existing and Planned Corridor Housing	3.10-15
Table 3.10-8: Buildout Projections: Housing Units and Population (2035).....	3.10-16
Table 3.10-9: Buildout Commercial Square Footage and Jobs (2035)	3.10-16
Table 3.11-1: Existing Noise Measurements.....	3.11-3
Table 3.11-2: Typical Levels of Groundborne Vibrations	3.11-9
Table 3.11-3: FTA Groundborne Vibration Criteria (VdB)	3.11-11
Table 3.11-4: Critical Screening Distances for Freight Rail Vibration.....	3.11-12
Table 3.11-5: Maximum Noise by Land Use	3.11-17
Table 3.11-6: Noise Contour Acres	3.11-20
Table 3.11-7: Distances to CNEL 70 and 65 dB Noise Contours from the Centerline of Major Noise Sources	3.11-22
Table 3.11-8: Existing (2008) and Future (2035) Noise Environment	3.11-23

Table 3.12-1: Student Generation Rates.....	3.12-14
Table 3.12-2: Buildout Projections: Student Enrollment.....	3.12-18
Table 3.12-3: Proposed Parks & Open Space	3.12-20
Table 3.13-1: Historic Average Daily Water Use	3.13-1
Table 3.13-2: 2007 Water Use by Land Use Type	3.13-3
Table 3.13-3: Total Annual Water Use Projections	3.13-3
Table 3.13-4: Master Plan Design Flow Scenarios Summary	3.13-6
Table 3.13-5: DDS Master Plan Data and City of Antioch Master Plan Data	3.13-7
Table 3.13-6: Base Wastewater Unit Flow Factors	3.13-22
Table 3.13-7: Peaking Factors	3.13-22
Table 3.13-8: Buildout Projections: Average Daily Wastewater Flows.....	3.13-27
Table 4.2-1: Buildout of Alternatives.....	4-3
Table 4.2-2: General Plan and Proposed Plan Assumptions	4-6
Table 4.2-3: Northside East Station Development Potential	4-8
Table 4.3-1: Projected 2035 Total Trips for Alternatives	4-12
Table 4.3-2: Development and Land Use Inputs for Proposed and Alternative Plans	4-13
Table 4.3-3: Alternative Plan Intersection Operations Weekday AM and PM Peak Hour – HCM Methodology.....	4-14
Table 4.3-4: 2035 Intersection Operations Weekday AM and PM Peak Hour – Percent Vehicles Served for the Alternative Plan and the Proposed Plan	4-15
Table 4.3-5: 2035 SR 4 Delay Index	4-15
Table 4.3-6: Estimated 2035 Alternative Plan GHG Emissions.....	4-17
Table 4.3-7: Estimated 2035 No Project GHG Emissions	4-18
Table 4.3-8: Alternative Plan Land Use Summary	4-21
Table 4.3-9: Alternative Plan Existing and Planned Corridor Housing	4-22
Table 4.3-10: Planning Area Land Use Designations.....	4-22
Table 4.3-11: No Project Existing and Planned Corridor Housing.....	4-23
Table 4.3-12: Noise Contour Areas	4-24
Table 5.1-1: City of Antioch Projected Growth	5-1

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: Regional Context.....	2-2
Figure 2-2: Planning Area & Study Area	2-3
Figure 2-3: Plan Overview	2-6
Figure 3.3-1: Vegetation & Habitat Type	3.3-3
Figure 3.3-2: Special Status Species	3.3-6
Figure 3.4-1: Area Context & Study Intersections	3.4-2
Figure 3.4-2: Existing (2007) Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	3.4-7
Figure 3.4-3: Existing Intersection Levels of Service.....	3.4-8
Figure 3.4-4: Existing (2007) Transit Routes in Antioch	3.4-12
Figure 3.4-5: Existing and Planned Bike Routes in Antioch	3.4-13
Figure 3.4-6: Regional, City, and Planning Area Roadway Improvements	3.4-27
Figure 3.4-7: 2035 Circulation Plan	3.4-28
Figure 3.4-8: 2035 Bicycle Plan.....	3.4-29
Figure 3.4-9: 2035 Intersection Traffic Volume Forecasts.....	3.4-31
Figure 3.4-10: 2035 Intersection Level of Service Results	3.4-32
Figure 3.5-1: Bay Area Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trends by Major Source	3.5-4
Figure 3.5-2: Estimated Sea Level Rise Due To Climate Change	3.5-8
Figure 3.5-3: On-Road Carbon Dioxide Emissions Reductions with Pavley Rules	3.5-18
Figure 3.7-1: Topography	3.7-4
Figure 3.7-2: Soils	3.7-5
Figure 3.7-3: Faults	3.7-6
Figure 3.8-1: Contaminated Sites and Fire Threat	3.8-2
Figure 3.8-2: Existing Pipelines	3.8-8
Figure 3.9-1: East Antioch Creek Watershed	3.9-3
Figure 3.9-2: Flood Hazard Zones.....	3.9-4
Figure 3.10-1: Existing Land Use	3.10-2
Figure 3.10-2: General Plan Land Use & Zoning	3.10-5
Figure 3.10-3: Land Use Plan.....	3.10-13
Figure 3.11-1: Existing Noise Measurements.....	3.11-2
Figure 3.11-2: Typical Sound Levels	3.11-6

Figure 3.11-3: Future Noise Contours	3.11-21
Figure 3.12-1: Existing & Future Public Services	3.12-2
Figure 3.13-1: Existing & Future Water System	3.13-2
Figure 3.13-2: Existing & Future Sewer System.....	3.13-8
Figure 3.13-3: Existing & Future Storm Drains.....	3.13-9
Figure 3.13-4: Existing & Relocated Electrical Lines.....	3.13-12
Figure 4-1: Alternative Plan	4-5
Figure 4-2: No Project Overview	4-7
Figure 4-3: Northside East Station Plan	4-9

This page left intentionally blank.