## ANNOTATED

AGENDA

# CITY OF ANTIOCH PLANNING COMMISSION 

ANTIOCH COUNCIL CHAMBERS
THIRD \& "H" STREETS
WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 17, 2016
6:30 P.M.
NO PUBLIC HEARINGS WILL BEGIN AFTER 10:00 P.M.
UNLESS THERE IS A VOTE OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION
TO HEAR THE MATTER

## APPEAL

All items that can be appealed under 9-5.2509 of the Antioch Municipal Code must be appealed within five (5) working days of the date of the decision. The final appeal date of decisions made at this meeting is 5:00 p.m. on WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 24, 2016.

If you wish to speak, either during "public comments" or during an agenda item, fill out a Speaker Request Form and place in the Speaker Card Tray. This will enable us to call upon you to speak. Each speaker is limited to not more than 3 minutes. During publ í hearings, each side is entitled to one "main presenter" who may have not more than 10 minutes. These time limits may be modified depending on the number of speakers, number of items on the agenda or circumstances. No one may speak more than once on an agenda item or during "public comments". Groups who are here regarding an item may identify themselves by raising their hands at the appropriate time to show support for one of their speakers.

## ROLL CALL

Commissioners

6:30 P.M.
Motts, Chair (absent)
Zacharatos, Vice Chair
Parsons
Mason
Hinojosa (absent)
Husary

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

## CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters listed under Consent Calendar are considered routine and are recommended for approval by the staff. There will be one motion approving the items listed. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless members of the Commission, staff or the public request specific items to be removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

1. APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

July 20, 2016
END OF CONSENT CALENDAR *
APPROVED

MINUTES

## NEW PUBLIC HEARING

2. AR-14-07 - Park Ridge - Davidson Homes, requests design review approval for the first phase of the 525 single family Park Ridge development, consisting of 123 single family residential units, as well as the accompanying mailboxes, lighting, landscaping, and sound walls. The proposed project is located south of Laurel Road between the State Route (SR) 4 Bypass and Canada Valley Road (APNs 053-072016, 053-060-022, and -023).

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-15

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

## WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

## ADJOURNMENT (7:29 PM)

## Notice of Availability of Reports

This agenda is a summary of the discussion items and actions proposed to be taken by the Planning Commission. For almost every agenda item, materials have been prepared by the City staff for the Planning Commission's consideration. These materials include staff reports which explain in detail the item before the Commission and the reason for the recommendation. The materials may also include resolutions or ordinances which are proposed to be adopted. Other materials, such as maps and diagrams, may also be included. All of these materials are available at the Community Development Department located on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor of City Hall, $3^{\text {rd }}$ and H Streets, Antioch, California, 94509, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 11:30 a.m. or by appointment only between 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday for inspection and copying (for a fee). Copies are also made available at the Antioch Public Library for inspection. Questions on these materials may be directed to the staff member who prepared them, or to the Community Development Department, who will refer you to the appropriate person.

## Notice of Opportunity to Address the Planning Commission

The public has the opportunity to address the Planning Commission on each agenda item. You may be requested to complete a yellow Speaker Request form. Comments regarding matters not on this Agenda may be addressed during the "Public Comment" section on the agenda.

## Accessibility

The meetings are accessible to those with disabilities. Auxiliary aids will be made available for persons with hearing or vision disabilities upon request in advance at (925) 779-7009 or TDD (925) 779-7081.

# CITY OF ANTIOCH <br> PLANNING COMMISSION 

Regular Meeting 6:30 p.m.

July 20, 2016
City Council Chambers

Chair Motts called the meeting to order at 6:30 P.M. on Wednesday, July 20, 2016 in the City Council Chambers. He stated that all items that can be appealed under 9-5.2509 of the Antioch Municipal Code must be appealed within five (5) working days of the date of the decision. The final appeal date of decisions made at this meeting is 5:00 P.M. on Wednesday, July 27, 2016.

## ROLL CALL

| Present: | Commissioners Parsons, Husary, Mason, Hinojosa and |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Chair Motts |
| Absent: | Vice Chair Zacharatos |
| Staff: | City Attorney, Michael Vigilia |
|  | Assistant Engineer, Ken Warren |
|  | Senior Planner, Alexis Morris |
|  | Minutes Clerk, Kitty Eiden |

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

## PUBLIC COMMENTS

None.

## CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Approval of Minutes:

May 4, 2016
May 18, 2016
Chair Motts requested the Planning Commission take separate action on the minutes.
On motion by Commissioner Parsons, seconded by Commissioner Mason, the Planning Commission approved the minutes of May 4, 2016, as presented. The motion carried the following vote:

AYES: Parsons, Husary, Mason
NOES:
ABSTAIN:
None

ABSENT:
Motts, Hinojosa

Zacharatos

On motion by Commissioner Hinojosa, seconded by Commissioner Husary, the Planning Commission approved the minutes of May 18, 2016, as presented. The motion carried the following vote:

```
AYES: Husary, Mason, Hinojosa, Motts
NOES:
None
ABSTAIN: Parsons
ABSENT:
Zacharatos
```


## NEW PUBLIC HEARINGS

2. UP-16-04, AR-16-01 - The Habit Burger Grill is requesting approval of a use permit and design review application to construct an approximately 3,418 square foot restaurant with a drive-thru, including the demolition of the existing building on site. The project site is located at 2430 Mahogany Way (APN 074-370-013).

Senior Planner Morris presented the staff report dated July 15, 2016 recommending the Planning Commission approve the use permit and design review application, subject to the conditions contained in the staff report's attached resolution.

In response to Commissioner Parsons, Senior Planner Morris stated that the applicant had indicated he accepted all of staff's recommendations.

In response to Commissioner Mason, Senior Planner Morris explained the current code requirement was to screen mechanical equipment from the City's right of way.

Chair Motts opened the public hearing.
Christopher Wadleigh Director of Development for Habit Burger Grill, stated they were looking forward to bringing the second restaurant to Antioch.

In response to Commissioner Parsons, Mr. Wadleigh stated he accepted staff's recommendations as presented in the report.

Commissioner Parsons thanked the applicant for building an additional project in Antioch.

In response to Chair Motts, Mr. Wadleigh stated there would be a railing around the patio area and their landscape plan was subject to approval of planning staff.

Commissioner Hinojosa thanked the applicant for his interest in Antioch.
In response to Commissioner Hinojosa, Mr. Wadleigh stated he could accomplish moving the trash enclosure and still meet the parking requirements.

In response to Commissioner Mason, Mr. Wadleigh stated they expected the time of completion to be in the first or second quarter of 2017.

Chair Motts thanked the applicant and closed the public hearing.

## RESOLUTION NO. 2016-10

On motion by Commissioner Parsons, seconded by Commissioner Hinojosa, the Planning Commission approved the use permit and design review application, subject to the conditions contained in the staff report's attached resolution. The motion carried the following vote:

| AYES: | Parsons, Husary, Mason, Hinojosa, Motts |
| :--- | :--- |
| NOES: | None |
| ABSTAIN: | None |
| ABSENT: | Zacharatos |

3. PD-15-03, PW-698 - Laurel Ranch - Strack Farms Land, LLC, requests approval of an Addendum to the Future Urban Area \#2 Specific Plan Environmental Impact Report, a rezone to Planned Development District (PD), a Vesting Tentative Map/Final Development Plan, and a development agreement. The project consists of the development of 180 single family homes and associated improvements on a portion of a 54 acre parcel. The project site is located at the northwest corner of the Highway 4 Bypass and Laurel Road interchange (APN 053-060-031).

Senior Planner Morris presented the staff report dated July 15, 2016 recommending the Planning Commission take the following actions:

1. Approve the resolution recommending approval of the Addendum to the Future Urban Area \#2 Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report.
2. Approve the resolution recommending approval of a Development Agreement between the City of Antioch and Richland Planned Communities, Inc.
3. Approve the resolution recommending approval of an ordinance rezoning the project site from Planned Development District (PD) to Planned Development District (PD-15-03).
4. Approve the resolution recommending approval of a Vesting Tentative May/Final Development Plan (PW 698), subject to conditions of approval.

Senior Planner Morris explained modifications to the conditions of approval and development agreement were made subsequent to the publishing of the staff report and those items were provided to the Planning Commission in the Memorandum dated July 19, 2016.

In response to Chair Motts, Senior Planner Morris explained the secondary entry onto Laurel Ranch Road would be exit only and noted a full signalized intersection at this location, would be too close to the existing signal at Country Hills Drive.

In response to Commissioner Parsons, Senior Planner Morris stated the applicant had agreed to the conditions of approval and accepted the changes proposed in the staff report.

In response to Commissioner Mason, Senior Planner Morris explained the original submittal by the applicant had 187 units and they were unable to accomplish lot line adjustments; therefore, they had resubmitted a 180 lot plan.

Commissioner Hinojosa questioned how the applicant was planning to address fitting the three required garbage cans into their designated spaces and accommodate cars on pick up days.

In response to Commissioner Hinojosa, Senior Planner Morris explained options available included restricting parking on garbage pickup days, alternating pickup days throughout the development and multi-family group trash enclosures. She stated the Planning Commission could condition the project to address this issue or request the applicant come back and provide additional materials. In addressing Commissioner Hinojosa's additional concerns, she explained the applicant proposed restricting the percentage of rental units in the project's CC\&Rs and his Attorney as well as City Attorney Vigilia felt confident it was legal, enforceable and defensible. She noted it was a condition of the project to be enforced by the HOA and required to be reviewed by the City Attorney and City Engineer. She explained that increased lighting in the courtyards was part of the project description and was shown in their design guidelines. She noted it would be appropriate for the Commission to call out aspects of the Design Review application in the conditions of approval.

Commissioner Hinojosa stated she wanted to ensure courtyard lighting was carried forward and considered when the project was brought forward for Design Review. She reiterated her concerns regarding how the CFD was being developed for Police Services noting there were inconsistencies in the language for the provision within the Aviano and Heidorn Ranch Development Agreements.

Senior Planner Morris explained this Development Agreement was carried forward from the Park Ridge development which predated the Aviano and Heidorn Ranch Development Agreements. She noted the basis was the same with one project creating the Police Facilities District which would then be reimbursed by the other projects. She noted the proposed language did not absolve them from following the formula; it was just not as specific as the language in the other Development Agreement.

City Attorney Vigilia stated he reviewed and was comfortable with the way the Development Agreement had been drafted. In terms of the amount of the fee, he noted there would be a rigorous review and staff would substantiate any fee that was imposed. He further noted the formation of the CFD was entirely a public process as required by State statutes and the public would have the opportunity to provide feedback.

Commissioner Hinojosa spoke to the importance of transparency in the process of forming the CFD noting it had been said in the past that fees could be going toward items that were also included in the developer impact fees.

In response to Commissioner Hinojosa, Mary Bean environmental consultant from First Carbon Solutions, reported a number of plant and animal surveys were conducted for this site and it was well vetted. She noted the applicant was responsible for mitigation and was required to comply with standard practices and protocols.

Chair Motts stated during a tour of the site he noticed the creek area and was pleased it was being mitigated for in the environmental document.

Chair Motts opened the public hearing.
Aaron Ross-Swain representing Richland gave an overhead presentation on the Laurel Ranch project which included: property description, land use, project background, proposed vesting tentative map, landscaping, architecture and project highlights. He shared an exhibit demonstrating how they would handle the placement of garbage bins on pick up day and noted the CC\&Rs would address this issue. He further noted there was sufficient curb area in front of each cluster to accommodate three cans per unit and the impact to guest parking was only for a 24 hour period which would be mitigated by an abundance of guest parking throughout the community.

Commissioner Hinojosa thanked Mr. Ross-Swain for addressing the issue and providing the graphic.

Mr. Ross-Swain explained they had reconfigured the conceptual floor plans to provide active living areas on the front of the home to be able to provide some surveillance of the alley.

In response to Commissioner Hinojosa, Senior Planner Morris explained given the small number of lots and challenges of enforcement, staff proposed a general standard that would restrict patio covers and detached accessory structures. She noted the Planning Commission could make an exception specific to particular lots.

Speaking to the HOA, Mr. Ross-Swain explained typically there was a master HOA with a flat fee for the entire community and those who would live in the private alleys would pay slightly higher dues. Additionally he noted, costs associated with the professional management company would be included.

Senior Planner Morris explained that there was not a condition of approval or language in the Development Agreement that required a professional management company for the HOA.

Mr. Ross-Swain further noted it was an industry standard and practice for builders to hire professional management companies.

In response to Chair Motts, Mr. Ross-Swain stated the intent along the main entry was to have separated sidewalks along the edges and landscaping in the center medians. He clarified students from this community would be attending Antioch schools and would not trigger the need for new facilities. He noted they were paying school fees at permit issuance to mitigate for school impacts.

Chair Motts spoke in support of landscaping the private lanes. He thanked the applicant for addressing concerns expressed by the Planning Commission during their review of the preliminary development plan.

In response to Commissioner Mason, Mr. Ross-Swain stated they could look into providing passive solar for street lighting.

Commissioner Mason expressed concern for the limited park space in the development and suggested a larger percentage of single story units be provided.

Mr. Ross-Swain explained they were contributing 15,000 square feet of neighborhood parks and they would be paying a park in lieu fee to mitigate for their impacts. He noted the Park Ridge development to the south would provide larger community parks.

Senior Planner Morris added the Park Ridge development would have a regional size park and it was connected by a trail to this subdivision. She noted this applicant was proposing small private facilities that would be maintained by the HOA in addition to paying the park in lieu fees.

In response to Commissioner Mason, Mr. Ross-Swain stated he would be amiable to increasing the percentage of single family homes to $15 \%$ provided there were lots that could fit those homes.

Commissioner Husary spoke in support of installing gates at the entrances.
Chair Motts opened the public hearing.
Chair Motts declared a recess at 8:06 P.M. and reconvened at 8:16 P.M. with all Commissioners present with the exception of Commissioner Zacharatos who was absent. The public hearing remained open.

Allen Payton, Antioch resident, suggested the Planning Commission require the project to be gated and pursue a standard flat fee per unit for the police services fee. He spoke in support of the project and the infrastructure improvements they were providing.

Kevin Van Buskirk, representing Sheet Metals Workers Local 104, spoke in support of the project and in particular the Project Labor Agreement (PLA) that would provide local jobs for local union members. He urged the Planning Commission to approve the project.

Wendi Aghily, Antioch resident, thanked Richland for the presentation and expressed concern that this was another request for an amendment to the plan. She stated she did not support the project as proposed. She provided the Planning Commission with a copy of the HUD report and she urged them to delay this project until after the election.

Chair Motts closed the public hearing
Commissioner Parsons spoke in support of the project and noted it would connect by trail to a large community park. She stated the completion of Laurel Road would provide much needed access to and from the Highway 4 Bypass.

Following discussion, the Planning Commission agreed that for consistency and given the challenges of enforcement, they supported the proposed PD zoning standard for the private lane neighborhood that prohibited patio covers and detached accessory structures. Additionally they agreed to support increasing the single story home product to $15 \%$.

Chair Motts stated he supported the project; however, a strong recommendation to Council would be to focus on Economic Development. He noted this project places higher density housing in an appropriate area, along a transportation corridor. He further noted the developer addressed concerns expressed during the review of the Preliminary Development Plan and they were amiable to the changes proposed this evening. He stated the trail connection was also important as was the completion of Laurel Road and their contribution to Slatten Ranch Road.

Commissioner Hinojosa voiced her support for the project and recognized the applicant for incorporating changes requested by the Planning Commission during the preliminary development plan process. She reiterated that lighting would need to be addressed in the Design Review process. She noted this was a good location for this product type and thanked the applicant for bringing their project to Antioch.

## RESOLUTION NO. 2016-11

On motion by Commissioner Parsons, seconded by Commissioner Mason, the Planning Commission approved the resolution recommending approval of the Addendum to the Future Urban Area \#2 Specific Plan Final Environmental Impact Report. The motion carried the following vote:

| AYES: | Parsons, Husary, Mason, Hinojosa, Motts |
| :--- | :--- |
| NOES: | None |
| ABSTAIN: | None |
| ABSENT: | None |

On motion by Commissioner Mason, seconded by Commissioner Parsons, the Planning Commission 1) Approved the resolution recommending approval of a Development Agreement, as revised in staff's memo dated July 15, 2016, between the City of Antioch and Richland Planned Communities, Inc. 2) Approved the resolution recommending approval of an ordinance rezoning the project site from Planned Development District (PD) to Planned Development District (PD-15-03). 3) Approved the resolution recommending approval of a Vesting Tentative May/Final Development Plan (PW 698), subject to conditions of approval as amended in staff's memo dated July 15, 2016, and amending condition \#D 2 to read: A minimum of 15\% of the homes in the Conventional Neighborhood shall be single story homes. The motion carried the following vote:

AYES: Parsons, Husary, Mason, Hinojosa, Motts
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

## ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Senior Planner Morris introduced Kevin Scudero as the City's new Associate Planner.
In response to Commissioner Hinojosa, Senior Planner Morris stated she would email the Planning Commission an update on the Sand Creek Focus area.

## WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS

None.

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

Chair Motts reported on his attendance at the Transplan meeting.

## ADJOURNMENT

Chair Motts adjourned the Planning Commission at 8:58 P.M. to the next regularly scheduled meeting to be held on August 17, 2016.

Respectfully Submitted, Kitty Eiden

# STAFF REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMIMISSION FOR CONSIDERATION AT THE MEETING OF AUGUST 17, 2016 

PREPARED BY: Cindy Gnos, Contract Planner<br>Raney Planning \& Management, Inc.

APPROVED BY: Alexis Morris, Senior Planner
DATE: August 10, 2016 a
SUBJECT: Park Ridge Subdivision Project Phase 1 Design Review

## RECOMIMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the Design Review application subject to the conditions contained in the attached resolution.

## ENTITLEMENTS

Davidon Homes requests design review approval for Phase 1 of the Park Ridge Subdivision Project (APNs 053-072-016 and 053-060-023), which includes the subdivision and development of 123 single-family residential homes, as well as the accompanying mailboxes, signage, lighting, landscaping, and sound walls.

## BACKGROUND

The proposed Park Ridge Subdivision Project is located south of Laurel Road between the State Route (SR) 4 Bypass and Canada Valley Road. The total 169.7-acre project site is currently undeveloped and is surrounded by SR 4 to the east, existing residential developments to the south and west, and the proposed Laurel Ranch residential subdivision to the north (see Attachment A).

In 2010, per request from Davidon Homes, the City Council approved a rezone of the project site from Specific Plan (SP) to Planned Development District (PD-05-01), as well as the project's Final Development Plan, Vesting Tentative Map and Use Permit (PD-05-01, PW 674, and UP-08-04) to construct 525 single-family homes, 25 acres of passive open space, and approximately 8.22 acres for a neighborhood park.

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

An Addendum to the 1996 East Lone Tree Specific Plan Environmental Impact Report (EIR) was prepared and completed in January, 2009 for the Park Ridge Subdivision Project. The Addendum determined all environmental impacts could be mitigated to less-
$\frac{2}{8-17-16}$
than-significant levels through project compliance with appropriate mitigation measures with the exception of the project's impact on air quality.

The 1996 East Lone Tree Specific Plan EIR determined air quality impacts to be significant and unavoidable, due to the site's proximity to SR 4 . Following the certification of the Specific Plan EIR in 1996, the City of Antioch adopted a Statement of Overriding Consideration on May 28, 1996, finding that the benefits derived from implementing the Specific Plan outweighed a remaining (unavoidable) post-mitigation significant impact on regional air quality. On March 9, 2010, the Antioch City Council reaffirmed the Statement of Overriding Considerations in conjunction with the Addendum prepared for the Park Ridge Subdivision Project. The proposed Design Review is consistent with the project analyzed in the Addendum; therefore, no further environmental review is required.

## ANALYSIS

## Issue \#1: Project Overview

The Park Ridge Subdivision Project would include the development of 525 single-family residential homes, an 8.22-acre neighborhood park, 25.5 acres of dedicated central open space, and 36.1 acres of public streets on a 169.7-acre site. The 525 single-family homes would range between approximately 2,500 and 4,000 square feet (sf) and be built on 5,000 and 6,000 sf lots.

The Park Ridge Subdivision Project would be completed in seven phases. Phase 1 of development consists of 123 single-family homes on the southernmost portion of the site. The applicant is requesting Design Review of 11 total residential home models for Phase 1 of development (see Attachment B). The largest three models are not included in the Phase 1 Development Plan; however, the applicant has requested the review of these models in the case that market conditions change and larger units become more desirable.

The subject property is zoned Planned Development (PD) and the surrounding land uses are described below.

## Issue \#2: Architecture

The applicant is proposing 11 home models ranging in size from 2,078 to 3,854 sf. The models are plotted on each lot of Phase 1 as indicated in Attachment B. Each model includes three architectural styles, all of which are generally consistent with City Design Guidelines (see Attachment C for floor plans and elevations). Each proposed model is discussed individually below with specific staff recommendations. The following table summarizes the characteristics of each model.

| Plan | Square Feet, <br> including Garage <br> and Porch | Stories | Garage | Bedrooms | Bathrooms |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2078 | 2,595 | One | 2-car | 3 | 2 |
| 2403 | 3,065 | One | 3-car tandem | 4 | 2 |
| 2562 | 3,357 | Two | 3-car tandem | $3-5$ | $2.5-3.5$ |
| 2788 | 3,570 | Two | 3-car | $4-5$ | 3.5 |
| 2886 | 3,629 | Two | 3-car tandem | $3-6$ | $2.5-3$ |
| 2891 | 3,650 | One | 3-car | $4-5$ | 3 |
| 3071 | 3,847 | Two | 3-car | $5-6$ | 3 |
| 3302 | 3,964 | Two | 3-car tandem | $4-6$ | $3-5$ |
| 3430 | 4,275 | Two | 3-car tandem | $4-6$ | $3-4$ |
| 3511 | 4,187 | Two | 3-car | $5-6$ | $4-5$ |
| 3854 | 4,572 | Two | 3-car | $5-6$ | 3.5 |

## Plan 2078

Plan 2078 is a one-story home with three bedrooms, two baths, and a two-car garage. The architectural styles for this plan include Spanish, Craftsman, and Tuscan. The front elevations of each design are detailed for the given styles, including a variety of roof lines and architectural enhancements. Each entry is framed with a narrow porch. The stone provided at the base of each elevation is wrapped three feet on the side elevations. Staff has added a condition that the stone be wrapped to the fence line, at a minimum. The elevations include window and door trim on all elevations and the elevations indicate additional shutters to be provided on the enhanced elevations.

## Plan 2403

Plan 2403 is a one-story home with four bedrooms, two baths, and a three-car garage. The architectural styles for this plan include Traditional, Tuscan, and Spanish. The front elevations of each design are detailed for the given styles, including a variety of roof lines and architectural enhancements. Each entry is framed with a porch with a tall roofline to enhance the entry and provide variety. The base of each elevation contains stucco, stone, or brick veneer and is wrapped over three feet on the side elevations. Staff has added a condition that the stone be wrapped to the fence line, at a minimum. The elevations include window and door trim on all elevations and the elevations indicate additional shutters to be provided on the enhanced elevations.

## Plan 2562 and 2788

Plans 2562 and 2788 are the same, with the exception of the garage. Plan 2562 provides a three-car garage with the third car in tandem, resulting in a two-car garage appearance on the front elevation. Plan 2562 contains three bedrooms as a base with options of up to five bedrooms and 2.5 baths. Plan 2788 provides an additional bedroom and places the
third car portion of the garage to the side of the home, resulting in four bedrooms as a base with options of up to five bedrooms and 3.5 baths. The plans are two-story with Traditional, Craftsman, and Spanish architectural styles. Roof lines are varied among the plans consistent with the City's Design Guidelines. The elevations have provided window and door trim on all elevations. The elevations do not, however, have any notation of options added to the enhanced elevations. Staff suggests that additional details be added on the second story rear and side elevations facing streets and open spaces. For the Traditional elevation, this would include adding the siding on the second story bedroom pop out on the rear and shutters on the side. The Spanish and Craftsman elevations have shutters on the rear and provide window trim to enhance the side elevations. Staff has added conditions to address the second story side and rear elevation detailing for the Traditional model.

## Plan 2886 and 3071

Plans 2886 and 3071 are the same, with the exception of the garage. Plan 2886 provides a three-car garage with the third car in tandem, resulting in a two-car garage appearance on the front elevation. Plan 2886 base model contains three bedrooms and three baths with options for up to six bedrooms. Plan 3071 provides an additional bedroom and places the third car portion of the garage to the side of the home, resulting in five bedrooms in the base model with options for up to six bedrooms and 3 baths. The plans are two-story with Craftsman, Traditional, and Spanish architectural styles. Roof lines are varied among the plans consistent with the City's Design Guidelines. The Traditional model includes siding on the second story rear elevation, however, the side elevation does not have any notation of options added to the enhanced elevations. Staff recommends that additional details be added on the second story sides facing streets and open spaces. For the Traditional elevation, this would include adding shutters on the side elevation. The Spanish model has provided the pot shelf on the rear elevation; however, the elevation should also include shutters where the elevation faces streets and open spaces. Similarly, the Craftsman elevation has provided the trim on the second story pop out, but shutters should be provided on the side elevations that will face streets and open spaces. Staff has added conditions to address the second story side elevation detailing for the models.

## Plan 2891

Plan 2891 is a one-story home with four bedrooms, three baths, and a three-car garage. An option is provided for a fifth bedroom. The architectural styles for this plan include Craftsman, Tuscan, and Spanish. The front elevations of each design are detailed for the given styles, including a variety of roof lines and architectural enhancements. Each entry is framed with a porch of varying styles to accent the entries and provide variety. The base of each elevation contains stucco, stone, or brick veneer and is wrapped over three feet on the side elevations. Staff has added a condition that the stucco, stone, or brick veneer be wrapped to the fence line, at a minimum. The elevations include window and door trim on all elevations. Because the model is only one story, the lack of additional detailing on the side and rear elevations could not be seen from the streets or open spaces due to the fences that would surround each of the homes.

Plans 3302 and 3511 are the same, with the exception of the garage. Plan 3302 provides a three-car garage with the third car in tandem, resulting in a two-car garage appearance on the front elevation. Plan 3302 base model contains four bedrooms and three baths with options for up to six bedrooms and five baths. Plan 3511 provides an additional bedroom and places the third car portion of the garage to the side of the home, resulting in five bedrooms and four baths in the base model with options for up to six bedrooms. The plans are two-story with Traditional, Tuscan, and Craftsman architectural styles. Roof lines are varied among the plans consistent with the City's Design Guidelines. The brick and stone veneers at the base of the models are carried around to a portion of the sides. Staff has added a condition that the brick and stone be wrapped to the fence line, at a minimum. The side and rear elevations have a significant number of windows which eliminate the need for additional enhancements facing the streets and open spaces.

## Plan 3430

Plan 3430 is a two-story home with four bedrooms, three baths, and a tandem three-car garage. Options for up to six bedrooms and four baths are included. The architectural styles for this plan include Craftsman, Tuscan, and Spanish. The front elevations of each design are detailed for the given styles, including a variety of roof lines and architectural enhancements. Each entry is framed with a porch with a stucco brick or stone finish. The columns of the front porch include bases of similar stucco, stone, or brick veneer. The elevations include window and door trim on all elevations. Similar to other plans, the side and rear elevations that face the street and open spaces should be enhanced. The Spanish model includes shutters on the rear elevation; however, they should be added to the second story side windows. The Craftsman and Tuscan elevations would not require additional enhancements.

## Plan 3854

Plan 3854 is a two-story home with five bedrooms, 3.5 baths, and a three-car garage. Options for up to six bedrooms are included. The architectural styles for this plan include Traditional, Tuscan, and Spanish. The front elevations of each design are detailed for the given styles, including a variety of roof lines and architectural enhancements. Each entry is framed with a porch with a stucco, brick, or stone finish. The bases of each elevation include similar stucco, stone, or brick veneer. The elevations include a combination of siding, shutters, and window and door trim on all elevations. Additional enhancement is not required.

## Issue \#3: Landscape Architectural Details:

Typical front yard landscape details are provided in Attachment D. Landscape architectural details such as fencing, entries, etc. are provided in Attachment E.

## Front Yards

The Phase 1 Landscaping Plans include multiple font-yard configurations for each of the 11 different home models. All front yard configurations include at least one tree and multiple medium and small shrubs. In compliance with City Zoning Code, front yards would not be planted with any trees smaller than 15 gallons, any shrubs smaller than five gallons, or any groundcover smaller than one gallon. In addition, the front yard plant palette is composed of drought-tolerant species, which comply with the City's Water Conservation Guidelines. Furthermore, front-yard landscaping would not be planted in a way that interferes with a clear line of site or access to emergency equipment, as outlined in the Residential Design Guidelines.

## Sound Wall

Phase 1 of the Park Ridge Subdivision Project includes the construction of a seven-foot-tall precast concrete sound wall along Canada Valley Road, wrapping at the project entries. The sound wall would have a light gray tone and consist of modest design features and capped concrete reinforcement posts at regular intervals, consistent with the Residential Design Guidelines (see Attachment E, Landscape Architectural Drawings, Detail 'E' Sheet L-8). The wall would connect with an existing seven-foot-tall sound wall on the southwestern corner of the site and extend northward along Canada Valley Road. The wall would end after the last lot included in Phase 1 of development.

## Fencing

In addition to the sound wall, the subdivision will include good neighbor fencing which includes a wood fence with a bottom and top rail, $4 \times 4$ post in a concrete footing. A view fence is also provided along open spaces which includes wood posts and top and bottom rail, with a welded wire fabric. A three foot high split rail fence with wood rails and posts is also included at the project entry. The original subdivision conditions of approval required the split rail fence to be made of precast concrete instead of redwood. This condition will be applied to the proposed project.

## Entries

Phase 1 of the Park Ridge Subdivision Project includes three entryways located at Vista Grande Drive, Ashbourne Way, and Ventry Way. The Vista Grande Drive entry is considered the main entryway to the subdivision with a six-foot-tall stone veneer wall with "Park Ridge" engraved on a 13.5- by 3.3 -foot precast concrete sign. The landscaping for the Vista Grande Drive entry would include several 15-gallon alders, birches, and oaks, multiple species of 5 -gallon ornamental shrubs, and intersecting ground cover types. The wall finish corresponds to features included on the building elevations.

## Street Trees

The Landscape Architectural Drawings for Phase 1 illustrate the placement of several shrubs and trees ( 15 -gallon, 24 " box, and 36 " box) along the neighborhood streets. The variety of trees and shrubs proposed would enhance the visual character of the development. The City of Antioch Residential Design Guidelines recommend some of the trees planted along streets in new developments to have a box depth of at least 48" to assist the development in looking "established " as quickly as possible. Staff has included a condition requiring the applicant to add additional street trees with box depth of at least 48".

## Addressing

Each home model includes the placement of one standard street address marker on the front façade adjacent to the two-car garage door. Home addressing is required to be visible from the nearest street for emergency services. Detailed plans for the design and colors of the address markers have not yet been submitted; therefore, staff has included a condition requiring staff review and approval of the address markers prior to building permit.

## Mailboxes and Lighting

Mailbox units would be installed in clusters of two to three along sidewalks nearest the corresponding home addresses. Each mailbox unit would consist of 12 mailbox compartments, each assigned to one home address. The mailbox units would be painted a dark bronze color to match the earth tones used in the various housing designs.

The elevations do not indicate lighting on the front elevations. Staff recommends the lighting fixtures match the architectural style of each plan and that there be a minimum of two fixtures on the front of each home - one on each side of the garage. Staff has included a condition requiring staff review and approval of the front elevation lighting prior to building permit.

## ATTACHMENTS

A: Project Vicinity Map
B: Phase 1 Park Ridge
C: Floor Plans and Elevations
D: Front Yard Typical Landscape Plans
E: Landscape Architectural Drawings

## PLANNING COMMISSION

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-**

## RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF ANTIOCH PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVING THE DESIGN REVIEW OF PHASE 1 OF THE PARK RIDGE SUBDIVISION PROJECT


#### Abstract

WHEREAS, the City received a request from Davidon Homes for Design Review approval of Phase 1 of the development of the Park Ridge Subdivision Project (AR-14-07), for the development of 123 single-family homes, related infrastructure improvements, and landscaping on APNs 053-072-016 and 053-060-023, and,


WHEREAS, on March 9, 2010, the City Council approved a rezone of the project site from Specific Plan (SP) to Planned Development District (PD-05-01), as well as the project's Final Development Plan, Vesting Tentative Map and Use Permit (PD-05-01, PW 674 , and UP-0804) to construct 525 single-family homes, 25 acres of passive open space, and approximately 8.22 acres for a neighborhood park; and

WHEREAS, the City prepared an addendum to the East Lone Tree Specific Plan EIR for the Park Ridge Subdivision Project, which was certified in 2009. The East Lone Tree Specific Plan EIR Statement of Overriding Considerations was reaffirmed by the Antioch City Council on March 9, 2010. The proposed project is consistent with the 2010 approvals, therefore further environmental review is not required; and,

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission on August 17, 2016, duly held a hearing, received and considered evidence, both oral and documentary.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission of the City of Antioch does hereby APPROVE the design review of Park Ridge Subdivision Phase 1, consisting of 123 single-family homes and related infrastructure improvements and landscaping on APNs 053-072-016 and 053-060-023 subject to the following conditions:

## A. GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City in any action brought by a third party to challenge the land use entitlement. In addition, if there is any referendum or other election action to contest or overturn these approvals, the applicant shall either withdraw the application or pay all City costs for such an election.
2. The project shall be implemented as indicated on the application form and accompanying materials provided to the City and in compliance with the Antioch Municipal Code, or as amended by the Planning Commission.
3. No building permit will be issued unless the plan conforms to the site plan as approved by the Planning Commission and the standards of the City.
4. This approval expires two years from the date of approval (expires August 17, 2018), unless a building permit has been issued and construction has diligently commenced thereon and has not expired, or an extension has been approved by the Zoning Administrator. Requests for extensions must be received in writing with the appropriate fees prior to the expiration of this approval. No more than one oneyear extension shall be granted.
5. No permits or approvals, whether discretionary or mandatory, shall be considered if the applicant is not current on fees, reimbursement payments, and any other payments that are due.
6. All required easements or rights-of-way shall be obtained by the applicant at no cost to the City of Antioch. Advance permission shall be obtained from any property or easement holders for any work done within such property or easements.

## B. CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS

1. The use of construction equipment shall be restricted to weekdays between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., or as approved in writing by the City Manager.
2. The project shall be in compliance with and supply all the necessary documentation for AMC 6-3.2: Construction and Demolition Debris Recycling.
3. Building permits shall be secured for all proposed construction associated with this facility, including any interior improvements not expressly evident on the plans submitted.

## C. FIRE REQUIREMENTS

1. All requirements of the Contra Costa County Fire Protection District shall be met:
a. The developer shall submit three (3) complete sets of plans and specifications of the subject project, including plans for any of the following required submittals, to the Fire District for review and approval prior to construction to ensure compliance with minimum requirements related to fire and life safety. The required re-submittals shall include tenant improvement plans, fire sprinklers, and fire alarm (105.4.1) CFC, (901.2) CFC, (107) CBC.
b. Plan review and inspection fees shall be submitted at the time of plan review submittal. Checks may be made payable to "CCCFPD" (Contra Costa County Fire Protection District).

August 17, 2016
Page 3

## D. FEES

1. The applicant shall pay all fees as required by the City Council.
2. The applicant shall pay the Regional Traffic Impact Fee as well as all other applicable fees, including any future increase in the Regional Traffic Impact Fee.
3. The applicant shall pay the Contra Costa County Fire Protection District Fire Development Fee in place at the time of building permit issuance.
4. The applicant shall pay any required Drainage Area fees prior to the issuance of any building permits for this project.
5. The developer shall pay all applicable Delta Diablo fees prior to the issuance of any building permits for this project.

## E. PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

1. A parking lot sweeping program shall be implemented that, at a minimum, provides for sweeping immediately prior to, and once during, the storm season.
2. The project shall comply with Property Maintenance Ordinance Section 5-1.204. No final landscape and irrigation plan shall be considered to be complete without an approved maintenance agreement reflective of standards contained in Section 5-1.204(G).
3. The site shall be kept clean of all debris (boxes, junk, garbage, etc.) at all times.
4. No signs shall be installed on this site without prior City approval.

## F. GRADING

1. The grading operation shall take place at a time, and in a manner, so as not to allow erosion and sedimentation. Erosion measures shall be implemented during all construction phases in accordance with an approved erosion and sedimentation control plan.
2. The grading plan for this development shall be approved by the City Engineer.
3. The final grading plan for this development shall be signed by a California licensed civil engineer and approved by the City Engineer.
4. All elevations shown on the improvement plans shall be on the USGS 1929 sea level datum.

## G. CONSERVATION/NPDES

1. The project shall comply with all Federal, State, and City regulations for the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) (AMC§6-9). Under NPDES regulations, the project is subject to provision C.3: New development and redevelopment regulations for storm water treatment. Provision C. 3 requires that the project include storm water treatment and source control measures, as well runoff flow controls, so that post-project runoff does not exceed estimated pre-project runoff. C. 3 regulations require the submittal of a Storm Water Control Plan (SWCP) that demonstrates how compliance will be achieved. The SWCP shall be submitted simultaneously with the project plans. An Operation and Maintenance Plan (O\&M) for the treatment and flow-controls in the approved SWCP shall be submitted and approved before the Building Department will issue Certificate of Occupancy permits and shall be included in the project CC\&Rs. Prior to building permit final and issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall execute any agreements identified in the Storm Water Control Plan that pertain to the transfer of ownership and/or long-term maintenance of storm water treatment or hydrograph modification BMPs.
2. The following requirements of the federally mandated NPDES program (National Pollutant DISCHARGE Elimination System) shall be complied with as appropriate, or as required by the City Engineer:
a. Prior to issuance of permits for building, site improvements, or landscaping, the applicant shall submit a permit application consistent with the applicant's approved Storm Water Control Plan, and include drawings and specifications necessary for construction of site design features, measures to limit directly connected impervious area, pervious pavements, self-retaining areas, treatment BMPs, permanent source control BMPs, and other features that control storm water flow and potential storm water pollutants.
b. The Storm Water Control Plan shall be certified by a registered civil engineer, and by a registered architect or landscape architect as applicable. Professionals certifying the Storm Water Control Plan shall be registered in the State of California and submit verification of training, on design of treatment measures for water quality, not more than three years prior to the signature date by an organization with storm water treatment measure design expertise (e.g., a university, American Society of Civil Engineers, American Society of Landscape Architects, American Public Works Association, or the California Water Environment Association), and verify
understanding of groundwater protection principles applicable to the project site (see Provision C.3.i of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order R2 2003 0022).
c. Prior to building permit final and issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall submit, for review and approval by the City, a final Storm Water BMP Operation and Maintenance Plan in accordance with City of Antioch guidelines. This O\&M plan shall incorporate City comments on the draft O\&M plan and any revisions resulting from changes made during construction. The O\&M plan shall be incorporated into the CC\&Rs for the Project.
d. Prior to building permit final and issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall execute and record any agreements identified in the Storm Water Control Plan which pertain to the transfer of ownership and/or longterm maintenance of storm water treatment or hydrograph modification BMPs.
e. Prevent site drainage from draining across sidewalks and driveways in a concentrated manner.
f. Collect and convey all storm water entering, and/or originating from, the site to an adequate downstream drainage facility. Submit hydrologic and hydraulic calculations with the Improvement Plans to Engineering Services for review and approval.
g. Prior to issuance of the grading permit, submit proof of filing of a Notice of Intent (NOI) by providing the unique Waste Discharge Identification Number (WDID\#) issued from the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
h. Submit a copy of the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for review to the Engineering Department prior to issuance of a building and/or grading permit. The general contractor and all subcontractors and suppliers of materials and equipment shall implement these BMP's. Construction site cleanup and control of construction debris shall also be addressed in this program. Failure to comply with the approved construction BMP may result in the issuance of correction notices, citations, or a project stop work order.
i. Install appropriate clean water devices at all private storm drain locations immediately prior to entering the public storm drain system. Implement Best Management Practices (BMP's) at all times.
j. Install on all catch basins "No Dumping, Drains to River" decal buttons.
k. If sidewalks are pressure washed, debris shall be trapped and collected to prevent entry into the storm drain system. No cleaning agent may be discharged into the storm drain. If any cleaning agent or degreaser is used, wash water shall be collected and discharged to the sanitary sewer, subject to the approval of the sanitary sewer District.
I. Include erosion control/storm water quality measures in the final grading plan that specifically address measures to prevent soil, dirt, and debris from entering the storm drain system. Such measures may include, but are not limited to, hydro seeding, gravel bags and siltation fences and are subject to review and approval of the City Engineer. If no grading plan is required, necessary erosion control/storm water quality measures shall be shown on the site plan submitted for an on-site permit, subject to review and approval of the City Engineer. The applicant shall be responsible for ensuring that all contractors and subcontractors are aware of and implement such measures.
m . Sweep or vacuum the parking lot(s) a minimum of once a month and prevent the accumulation of litter and debris on the site. Corners and hard to reach areas shall be swept manually.
n. Ensure that the area surrounding the project such as the streets stay free and clear of construction debris such as silt, dirt, dust, and tracked mud coming in from or in any way related to project construction. Areas that are exposed for extended periods shall be watered regularly to reduce wind erosion. Paved areas and access roads shall be swept on a regular basis. All trucks shall be covered.
o. Clean all on-site storm drain facilities a minimum of twice a year, once immediately prior to October 15 and once in January. Additional cleaning may be required if found necessary by City Inspectors and/or City Engineer.

## H. UTILITIES

1. All existing and proposed utilities shall be undergrounded (e.g. transformers and PMH boxes) and subsurface in accordance with the Antioch Municipal Code, except existing P.G.\& E. towers, if any or as approved by the City Engineer.
2. Underground utilities shall be designed to flow approximately parallel to the centerline of the street, or as approved by the City Engineer.
3. All on-site curbs, gutters and sidewalks shall be constructed of Portland cement concrete.
4. The sewer collection system shall be constructed to function as a gravity system.
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5. If necessary, a public utilities easement that encompasses public utilities shall be provided as directed by the City Engineer.
6. All ground mounted utility appurtenances such as transformers, AC condensers, backflow devices, etc., shall be located out of public view and adequately screened in such a manner as to minimize the visual and acoustical impact. Screening may include a combination of landscaping and/or masonry to the satisfaction of the Community Development Department. All gas and electrical meters shall be concealed and/or painted to match the building
7. The applicant shall prepare a final site plan and elevations of all on-site mechanical equipment (including HVAC condensers, transformers, switch boxes, backflow devices, PG\&E transformers, etc.) and specifics of how such equipment shall be screened from public view. This plan, with an approval stamp from the City of Antioch shall be submitted to the utility provider for review. Any necessary changes or deviations from the approved utility location and/or screening shall be reviewed by the Community Development Department prior to installation and may be subject to discretionary Design Review processing and fees.
8. Improvements and fees that are required by the Contra Costa County Flood Control District shall be implemented, as approved by the City Engineer.
9. The developer shall provide adequate water pressure and volume to serve this development, as approved by the City Engineer. This will include a minimum residual pressure of 20 psi with all losses included at the highest point of water service and a minimum static pressure of 50 psi .
10. A reduced backflow prevention device shall be installed on all City water meter services.

## I. LANDSCAPING

1. Landscape shall show immediate results and be permanently maintained at an " A " level.
2. Street trees to be planted in prominent areas (such as along street corners) shall have a box depth of at least 48 inches.
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## J. PROJECT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

1. This design review approval applies to the construction of approximately 123 single family homes, landscaping, fencing, soundwalls and other associated improvements as depicted on the architectural elevations submitted to the City of Antioch on June 20, 2016 and landscape plans submitted to the Community Development Department on June 30, 2016.
2. All conditions of approval for the Final Planned Development, Vesting Tentative Map, and Use Permit, shall be complied with as identified in Resolution No. 2010/21.
3. Phase 1 models shall be plotted as shown on the Development Plan, dated June 3, 2016. Any changes to the plotting shall be reviewed and approved by the Zoning Administrator.
4. Prior to issuance of the $1^{\text {st }}$ building permit, the applicant shall submit detailed plans of the address markers for each home model, subject to review and approval by the City of Antioch Community Development Department.
5. Prior to issuance of the $1^{\text {st }}$ building permit, the applicant shall submit front elevations detailing the lighting plans for Phase 1 of the Park Ridge Subdivision. Light fixtures shall match the architectural style of each model and shall be located, at a minimum, on each side of the garage. Front elevation lighting shall be reviewed and approved by the Community Development Department.
6. All plans shall have the accents and trim on the front elevations wrapped to the fence line on each side at a minimum.
7. The Traditional model for Plans 2562 and 2788 shall have siding on the pop out on rear elevations and shutters on side elevations when the side and/or rear elevations face a street or open space area.
8. Plans 2886 and 3071 shall have shutters on all side elevations facing a street or open space area.
9. The Spanish model for Plan 3430 shall have shutters on all side elevations facing a street or open space area.
10. The 2-rail fence railing shall be made of precast concrete instead of redwood.

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-**
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I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was passed and adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Antioch at a regular meeting thereof held on the $17^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2016.

AYES:
NOES:
ABSTAIN:
ABSENT:

FORREST EBBS,
Secretary to the Planning Commission

## Attachment A Project Vicinity Map



## Attachment B

Park Ridge Development Plan
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Attachment C Floor Plans and Elevations

| PLAN 3430 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| FRONT ELEVATIONS | A9.I |
| FLOOR PLAN | A9.2 |
| ELEVATIONS - SPANISH | A9.3 |
| ELEVATIONS - CRAFTSMAN | A9.4 |
| ELEVATIONS - TUSCAN | A9.5 |
| PLAN 351I |  |
| FRONT ELEVATIONS | Al0.I |
| FLOOR PLAN | Al0.2 |
| ELEVATIONS - TRADITIONAL | Al0.3 |
| ELEVATIONS - CRAFTSMAN | Al0.4 |
| ELEVATIONS - TUSCAN | Al0.5 |
| PLAN 3854 |  |
| FRONT ELEVATIONS | All. 1 |
| FLOOR PLAN | All. 2 |
| ELEVATIONS - TRADITIONAL | All 3 |
| ELEVATIONS - SPANISH | All. 4 |
| ELEVATIONS - TUSCAN | All. 5 |




## P A R K <br> R I D G E

| PLAN 2886 |
| :--- |
| FRONT ELEVATIONS |
| FLOOR PLAN |
| ELEVATIONS - TRADITIONAL |
| ELEVATIONS - SPANISH |
| ELEVATIONS - CRAFTSMAN |
| PLAN 289 I |
| FRONT ELEVATIONS |
| FLOOR PLAN |
| ELEVATIONS - SPANISH |
| ELEVATIONS -CRAFTSMAN |
| ELEVATIONS - TUSCAN |
| PLAN $307 I$ |
| FRONT ELEVATIONS |
| FLOOR PLAN |
| ELEVATIONS - TRADITIONAL |
| ELEVATIONS - SPANISH |
| ELEVATIONS - CRAFTSMAN |
| PLAN 3302 |
| FRONT ELEVATIONS |
| FLOOR PLAN |
| ELEVATIONS - TRADITIONAL |
| ELEVATIONS - CRAFTSMAN |
| ELEVATIONS - TUSCAN |


PLAN 2078
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PLAN 2788



| 4 BEDROOMS / 3.5 BATHS <br> 3 - CAR GARAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| FLOOR AREA TABLE |  |
| IST FLOOR | 1319 SQ. FT. |
| 2ND FLOOR | 1469 SQ. FT. |
| TOTAL | 2788 SQ. FT. |
| 3 - CAR GARAGE | 671 SQ. FT. |
| PORCH | 111 SQ. FT. |
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| 4 BEDROOMS / 3 bATHS <br> 3 - CAR GARAGE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| FLOOR AREA TABLE |  |
| IST FLOOR | 2,891 SQ. FT. |
| total | 2891 SQ. FT. |
| 3 - CAR GARAGE | 673 SQ. FT. |
| PORCH | 86 SQ. FT. |
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\begin{gathered}
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\end{gathered}
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| A 11.1 |
| :---: |
| $0.523,1.1$ |
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## Attachment D

Front Yard Typical Landscape Plan
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## Attachment E Landscape Architectural Drawing

##  LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL DR PARK RIDGE - PHAS ANTIOCH, CALIFORNIA DAVIDON HOMES






|  |  |  |  | $\left(\begin{array}{l} \infty \\ \square \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 7 \\ 7 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br>  Consistant deprl throuchout the irecut. |
|  |  Seb or oit priline fer pon |
|  |  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  | 7. PVC SUPPLY AND FLUSH LINE SIZING GUIDE (ALL SUPPLY AND FLUSH LINES SHALL BE THE SAME SIZE FOR THE ENTIRE ZONE): <br>  |
|  | Fitinges shall ee of tue same manmeaturer As dripline |
|  |  |
|  |  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |


 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REVIEW RELATED DRAWINGS AND SHALL ENSURE COORDINATION WITH ALL APPLICABLE TRADES
PRIOR TO SUBMITTING BID.
THE IRRIGATION STSTEM SHALL BE INSTALLED IN CONFORMANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE STATE AND LOCAL CODES AND







 8. EACH Controlller shall have its oun nderendent grouno wire.




 14. LoCATE QuCK COUPLING VALVE I'PRROM HARDSCAPE AREA.
15. ALL SPRINKLER HEADS SHALL BE SET PERPENDICULAR TO FINISH GRADE OF THE AREA TO BE IRRIGATED UNLESS OTHERWISE
DESIGNATED ON THE PLANS. - 16. IN LOCATIONS UHERE LOW HEAD DRAINAGE WILL CAUSE EROSION AND EXCESS WATER USE POP-UP SPRINKLER MODELS WITH
INEGRALCHECK VALVE FOR RIP OR GUBBLER CIRCUITS, INSTALL KING BROS. CY SERIES CHECK VALVES IN LATERAL LINES FOR
EVERY IDOF ELEVATION CHANGE. 17. THE IRRIGATION CONTRACTOR SHALL FLUSH AND ADJUST ALL SPRINKLER HEADS FOR OPTIMUM PERFORMANCE AND TO
PREVENT OVRSPRAY ONTO WALLS, ROADUYS ANDIOR BULDNGS $A S$ MUCH $A S$ POSSBLELE. THIS SHLLL INCLUDE SELCTNG THE

 ALL ADJUSTMENTS SHALL BE MADE AT NO ADDITIONAL COST TO THE OUNER.
I9. NOTFY ARCHITECT OF ANY ASPECTS OF LAYOUT THAT WILL PROVIDE INCOMPLETE OR INSUFFICIENT WATER COVERAGE OF
PLANT MATERIAL AND DO NOT PROCEED UNTIL HIS INSTRUCTIONS ARE OBTAINED.





 IRRIGR
UATER FRESSRE NDICATED ON THE DRAUINGS AND THE ACTUAL PRESULRE READING AT THE IRRIGATION POINT OF CONNECTION
THE OUNER'S AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE.
25. IRRIGATION DEMAND: REFER TO IRRIGATION POINTS OF CONNECTION.

[^0]the Contractor shall notify underground service alert at bil at least 48 hours prior to any excavation.
















HYDROZONE TABLE








E26



[^1]|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    IRRIGATION CONTRACTOR TO NOTIFY ALL LOCAL JURISDICTIONS FOR INSPECTION AND TESTING OF INSTALLED BACKFLOW

[^1]:    STANDARD PLANTING PLAN NOTES
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

